Towards a Factorised Solution of the Yang-Baxter Equation with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Benjamin Morris¹ Based on Honours thesis sup. by Prof. V. Mangazeev²

 ¹School of Mathematics, University of Leeds
²Department of Theoretical Physics, Australian National University

SIDE14.2, Warsaw, June 2023

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry L Introduction





► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) - *RLL*-method



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:
 - Undeformed: \mathfrak{sl}_n Differential Representation



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:
 - Undeformed: \mathfrak{sl}_n Differential Representation
 - ▶ *q*-Deformed: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ *q*-Difference Representations



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:
 - Undeformed: \mathfrak{sl}_n Differential Representation
 - ▶ *q*-Deformed: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ *q*-Difference Representations
- Parameter Permutation and YBE



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:
 - Undeformed: \mathfrak{sl}_n Differential Representation
 - q-Deformed: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ q-Difference Representations
- Parameter Permutation and YBE
 - Permutation Operators



- ► Yang-Baxter Equation (YBE) *RLL*-method
- Symmetry Algebras:
 - Undeformed: \mathfrak{sl}_n Differential Representation
 - q-Deformed: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ q-Difference Representations
- Parameter Permutation and YBE
 - Permutation Operators
 - Symmetric Group Relations



Yang-Baxter Equation

The (parameter dependent) YBE on $End(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$ is

$$R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},V_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{V_{2},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})$$

= $R_{V_{2},V_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},V_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},V_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}),$

 $(R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i,u_j) \text{ invertible}).$



Yang-Baxter Equation

The (parameter dependent) YBE on $End(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$ is

$$R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{2},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})$$

= $R_{\mathbf{V}_{2},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}),$

 $(R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i, u_j) \text{ invertible}).$ Additive dependence $\Rightarrow R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i, u_j) = R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i - u_j)$ $R_{V_1,V_2}(u-v)R_{V_1,V_2}(u)R_{V_2,V_2}(v) = R_{V_2,V_2}(v)R_{V_1,V_2}(u)R_{V_1,V_2}(u-v).$



Yang-Baxter Equation

The (parameter dependent) YBE on $End(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3)$ is

$$R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{2},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})$$

= $R_{\mathbf{V}_{2},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{2},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{3}}(u_{1},u_{3})R_{\mathbf{V}_{1},\mathbf{V}_{2}}(u_{1},u_{2}),$

 $(R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i, u_j) \text{ invertible}).$ Additive dependence $\Rightarrow R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i, u_j) = R_{V_i,V_j}(u_i - u_j)$

 $R_{V_1,V_2}(u-v)R_{V_1,V_3}(u)R_{V_2,V_3}(v) = R_{V_2,V_3}(v)R_{V_1,V_3}(u)R_{V_1,V_2}(u-v).$





RLL-Method

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) = \frac{1}{u}$$



RLL-Method

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}(u) = \frac{1}{u}$$
. We will follow the "*RLL*-scheme":



RLL-Method





RLL-Method





RLL-Method





RLL-Method



Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations



Defining *R*-Matrix and *L*-operators



Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations



Defining *R*-Matrix and *L*-operators



This requires two matrices:

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations



Defining *R*-Matrix and *L*-operators



This requires two matrices:

•
$$R_{12}(u) = \frac{1}{u} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$$
 (an $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix).

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations



Defining *R*-Matrix and *L*-operators



This requires two matrices:

•
$$R_{12}(u) = \frac{1}{|u||_2} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \text{ (an } n^2 \times n^2 \text{ matrix)}.$$

► $L(u) = ___{u} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \otimes \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$. An $n \times n$ matrix with values in \mathcal{A} .



RLL relation in End ($\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{V}$):

$$R_{12}(u-v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u-v).$$

$$L_1(u) = L(u) \otimes \mathrm{id}_n, \ L_2(v) = \mathrm{id}_n \otimes L(v).$$



 $\frac{\text{RLL relation in End} \left(\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{V}\right):}{R_{12}(u-v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u-v).}$ $L_1(u) = L(u) \otimes \text{id}_n, \ L_2(v) = \text{id}_n \otimes L(v).$

$$(L_1(u)L_2(v))_{ij,lk} = L_{i,l}(u)L_{j,k}(v).$$



RLL relation in End ($\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{V}$):

$$R_{12}(u-v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u-v).$$

$$L_1(u) = L(u) \otimes \mathrm{id}_n, \ L_2(v) = \mathrm{id}_n \otimes L(v).$$

$$(L_1(u)L_2(v))_{ij,lk} = L_{i,l}(u)L_{j,k}(v).$$

 \Rightarrow *RLL* relation reduces to quadratic algebra relations. Can think of it as expressing the defining algebra relations for *A*.



RLL relation in End ($\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{V}$):

$$R_{12}(u-v)L_1(u)L_2(v) = L_2(v)L_1(u)R_{12}(u-v).$$

$$L_1(u) = L(u) \otimes id_n, \ L_2(v) = id_n \otimes L(v).$$

$$(L_1(u)L_2(v))_{ij,lk} = L_{i,l}(u)L_{j,k}(v).$$

 \Rightarrow *RLL* relation reduces to quadratic algebra relations. Can think of it as expressing the defining algebra relations for *A*.

 $\frac{\text{Why YBE for } R?}{\text{of } \mathcal{A}.}$ This is a consistency condition for associativity

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \sqsubseteq Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

└─Undeformed Case: \$1,n



Undeformed Case: \mathfrak{sl}_n

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Undeformed Case: sln



Undeformed Case: \mathfrak{sl}_n

The universal enveloping algebra (UEA) $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining *R*-matrix

$$R_{12}(u) = u \cdot \mathrm{id}_{n^2} + P_{12} : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$$

where, P_{12} is the flip $P_{12}(x_1 \otimes x_2) = x_2 \otimes x_1$,

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Undeformed Case: sln



Undeformed Case: \mathfrak{sl}_n

The universal enveloping algebra (UEA) $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining *R*-matrix

$$R_{12}(u) = u \cdot \operatorname{id}_{n^2} + P_{12} : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where, P_{12} is the flip $P_{12}(x_1 \otimes x_2) = x_2 \otimes x_1$, and a universal *L*-operator

$$L(u) = u \cdot \mathrm{id}_n \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \otimes E_{ji},$$

where e_{ij} is the matrix unit.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Undeformed Case: sln



Undeformed Case: \mathfrak{sl}_n

The universal enveloping algebra (UEA) $\mathcal{A} = U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining R-matrix

$$R_{12}(u) = u \cdot \mathrm{id}_{n^2} + P_{12} : \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where, P_{12} is the flip $P_{12}(x_1 \otimes x_2) = x_2 \otimes x_1$, and a universal *L*-operator

$$L(u) = u \cdot \mathrm{id}_n \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \otimes E_{ji},$$

where e_{ij} is the matrix unit. Here $\{E_{ij}\}$ is the Cartan-Weyl basis for \mathfrak{sl}_n :

$$\begin{split} h_{i} &= E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}, \quad \sum_{i} E_{ii} = 0, \quad E_{i,i+1} = e_{i}, \quad E_{i+1,i} = f_{i}, \\ & [E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} E_{il} - \delta_{ik} E_{lj}. \end{split}$$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised L-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n

For *n*-parameters $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\sum_i \rho_i = n(n-1)/2$, we can define a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised L-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n

For *n*-parameters $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\sum_i \rho_i = n(n-1)/2$, we can define a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ by

$$E_{ij} = (Z D(-\rho) Z^{-1})_{ji},$$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised L-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n

For *n*-parameters $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\sum_i \rho_i = n(n-1)/2$, we can define a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ by

$$E_{ij} = (Z D(-\rho) Z^{-1})_{ji},$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_{21} & 1 & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(-\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho_n & P_{21} & P_{31} & \dots & P_{n1} \\ -\rho_{n-1} & P_{32} & \dots & P_{n2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -\rho_2 & P_{n,n-1} \\ & & & -\rho_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n

For *n*-parameters $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\sum_i \rho_i = n(n-1)/2$, we can define a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ by

$$E_{ij} = (Z D(-\rho) Z^{-1})_{ji},$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_{21} & 1 & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(-\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho_n & P_{21} & P_{31} & \dots & P_{n1} \\ -\rho_{n-1} & P_{32} & \dots & P_{n2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -\rho_2 & P_{n,n-1} \\ & & & -\rho_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the P_{ij} are first order linear differential operators:

$$P_{ij} = -\partial_{ij} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{ki} \cdot \partial_{kj}.$$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n

For *n*-parameters $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\sum_i \rho_i = n(n-1)/2$, we can define a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ by

$$E_{ij} = (Z D(-\rho) Z^{-1})_{ji},$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_{21} & 1 & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(-\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho_n & P_{21} & P_{31} & \dots & P_{n1} \\ -\rho_{n-1} & P_{32} & \dots & P_{n2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & -\rho_2 & P_{n,n-1} \\ & & & -\rho_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the P_{ij} are first order linear differential operators:

$$P_{ij} = -\partial_{ij} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{ki} \cdot \partial_{kj}.$$

[Derkachov and Manashov, 2006]

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised L-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x\partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.
Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised L-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x \partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.

General case:

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x\partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.

General case:

▶ 1 is a lowest weight vector with h_i -eigenvalues $m_i = \rho_{n+1-i} - \rho_{n-i} + 1$.

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x\partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.

General case:

 I is a lowest weight vector with h_i-eigenvalues m_i = ρ_{n+1-i} − ρ_{n-i} + 1.
 For "generic" m_i, V_ρ is irreducible.

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x\partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.

General case:

- ▶ 1 is a lowest weight vector with h_i-eigenvalues m_i = ρ_{n+1-i} − ρ_{n-i} + 1.
- ► For "generic" m_i , V_ρ is irreducible.
- ▶ It is reducible if some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. It contains a finite dimensional irreducible subrep iff true for all m_i .

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

Differential Representation & Factorised *L*-Operator



Differential Representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n <u>E.g.</u> n = 2 case: Taking $N_x = x\partial x$ and $m = \rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1$, $f = -\partial_x$, $e = x \cdot (N_x + m)$, $h = 2N_x + m$.

General case:

- ▶ 1 is a lowest weight vector with h_i-eigenvalues m_i = ρ_{n+1-i} − ρ_{n-i} + 1.
- ► For "generic" m_i , V_ρ is irreducible.
- It is reducible if some m_i ∈ Z_{≤0}. It contains a finite dimensional irreducible subrep iff true for all m_i.
- It has a factorised L-operator!

$$L(\boldsymbol{u})=ZD(\boldsymbol{u})Z^{-1}=\underline{\qquad}_{\boldsymbol{u}},$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_i)$$
, where $u_i = u - \rho_i$.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \square_q -Deformed $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$



q-Deformed Case: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

The *q*-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: For some $q = e^h \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$



The *q*-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: For some $q = e^h \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$

• Generators: e_i, f_i , and invertible $k_i = q^{h_i}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: For some $q=e^h\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,\pm1\}$

▶ Generators: e_i, f_i, and invertible k_i = q^{h_i} for i = 1, 2, ..., n − 1
 ▶ Relations:

$$[k_i, k_j] = 0, \quad k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} f_i,$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij} [h_i]_q,$$

$$[e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0, \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1,$$

$$g_i^2 g_{i \pm 1} - (q + q^{-1}) g_i g_{i \pm 1} g_i + g_{i \pm 1} g_i^2 = 0,$$

 $g_i = e_i, f_i.$



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: For some $q=e^h\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,\pm1\}$

▶ Generators: e_i, f_i, and invertible k_i = q^{h_i} for i = 1, 2, ..., n − 1
 ▶ Relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [k_i, k_j] &= 0, \quad k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} f_i, \\ [e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij} [h_i]_q, \\ [e_i, e_j] &= [f_i, f_j] = 0, \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \\ g_i^2 g_{i \pm 1} - (q + q^{-1}) g_i g_{i \pm 1} g_i + g_{i \pm 1} g_i^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

 $g_i = e_i, f_i$. The a_{ij} are components of the A_n Cartan matrix.



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: For some $q = e^h \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$

▶ Generators: e_i, f_i, and invertible k_i = q^{h_i} for i = 1, 2, ..., n − 1
 ▶ Relations:

$$\begin{split} [k_i, k_j] &= 0, \quad k_i e_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} e_j, \quad k_i f_j k_i^{-1} = q^{a_{ij}} f_i, \\ [e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij} [h_i]_q, \\ [e_i, e_j] &= [f_i, f_j] = 0, \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1, \\ g_i^2 g_{i \pm 1} - (q + q^{-1}) g_i g_{i \pm 1} g_i + g_{i \pm 1} g_i^2 = 0, \end{split}$$

 $g_i = e_i, f_i$. The a_{ij} are components of the A_n Cartan matrix. • Notation: $[x]_q = (q^x - q^{-x})/(q - q^{-1})$ Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Deformed $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$



q-Deformed Case: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining R-matrix

$$R(u) = q^{u}R + q^{-u}R^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}),$$



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining R-matrix

$$R(u) = q^{u}R + q^{-u}R^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}),$$

and a universal L-operator [Jimbo, 1986]

$$L(u) = q^{u}L^{+} + q^{-u}L^{-} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \otimes U_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n}),$$

 $(L^+)_{ij} \propto E_{ji}$ for $j \ge i$.



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining R-matrix

$$R(u) = q^{u}R + q^{-u}R^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}),$$

and a universal L-operator [Jimbo, 1986]

$$L(u) = q^{u}L^{+} + q^{-u}L^{-} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \otimes U_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n}),$$

 $(L^+)_{ij} \propto E_{ji}$ for $j \geq i$.

Now specialise:



The q-deformed UEA $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has a defining R-matrix

$$R(u) = q^{u}R + q^{-u}R^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n}),$$

and a universal L-operator [Jimbo, 1986]

$$L(u) = q^{u}L^{+} + q^{-u}L^{-} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) \otimes U_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n}),$$

$$(L^+)_{ij} \propto E_{ji}$$
 for $j \ge i$.

Now specialise:

Is there an analogous class of representations for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$? How about a factorised *L*-operator?

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 \square_{q} -Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 \square_{q} -Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

 \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation:

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

└- q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

 \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ii} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

- q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

 \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$

• Multiplication operator x_{ij} , number operator $N_{ij} = x_{ij}\partial_{ij}$.

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

- q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

 \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$

- Multiplication operator x_{ij} , number operator $N_{ij} = x_{ij}\partial_{ij}$.
- q-shift operator $q^{\alpha N_{ij}}$: $q^{\alpha N_{ij}} f(x_{ij}) = f(q^{\alpha} x_{ij})$.

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

- q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

 \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$

- Multiplication operator x_{ij} , number operator $N_{ij} = x_{ij}\partial_{ij}$.
- ► *q*-shift operator $q^{\alpha N_{ij}}$: $q^{\alpha N_{ij}} f(x_{ij}) = f(q^{\alpha} x_{ij})$. In general $q^{\alpha + \sum \alpha_{ij} N_{ij}} f(x_{21}, \ldots, x_{n,n-1}) = q^{\alpha} f(q^{\alpha_{21}} x_{21}, \ldots, q^{\alpha_{n,n-1}} x_{n,n-1})$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

- q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "*q*-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ \blacktriangleright Multiplication operator x_{ij} , number operator $N_{ij} = x_{ij}\partial_{ij}$.

► *q*-shift operator $q^{\alpha N_{ij}}$: $q^{\alpha N_{ij}}f(x_{ij}) = f(q^{\alpha}x_{ij})$. In general $q^{\alpha+\sum \alpha_{ij}N_{ij}}f(x_{21},\ldots,x_{n,n-1}) = q^{\alpha}f(q^{\alpha_{21}}x_{21},\ldots,q^{\alpha_{n,n-1}}x_{n,n-1})$

• q-difference operator: $D_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_{ij}} [N_{ij}]_q$ with the action $D_{ij}f(x_{ij}) = \frac{f(qx_{ij}) - f(q^{-1}x_{ij})}{x_{ij}(q-q^{-1})}$

 $\times [m]_a$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

- q-Difference Representation



. . .

 $\times [m+n]_{a}$

q-Difference Representation of $U_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n})$ \mathfrak{sl}_n : differential representation $\leftrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$: "q-difference" representation: Want a representation on $\mathbb{C}[x_{ii} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n]$ • Multiplication operator x_{ii} , number operator $N_{ii} = x_{ii}\partial_{ii}$. • q-shift operator $q^{\alpha N_{ij}}$: $q^{\alpha N_{ij}} f(x_{ii}) = f(q^{\alpha} x_{ii})$. In general $q^{\alpha+\sum \alpha_{ij}N_{ij}}f(x_{21},\ldots,x_{n,n-1}) = q^{\alpha}f(q^{\alpha_{21}}x_{21},\ldots,q^{\alpha_{n,n-1}}x_{n,n-1})$ • q-difference operator: $D_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_{ii}} [N_{ij}]_q$ with the action $D_{ij}f(x_{ij}) = \frac{f(qx_{ij}) - f(q^{-1}x_{ij})}{x_{ii}(q-q^{-1})}$ n = 2 case: Just one variable $x_{21} = x$ $f = -D_x$, $e = x[m + N_x]_a$, $h = 2N_x + m$, \times (m+2) \times (m+4) $\times (m+2n)$ $\times m$

 $\times [m+1]_q$

 $\times [m+2]_a$

 $\times [m+n-1]_a$

Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 \square_{q} -Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

└ q-Difference Representation



q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

For ρ∈ Cⁿ, there is an analogous representation V_ρ of U_q(sι_n) [Dobrev, Truini, and Biedenharn, 1994].

q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

- For ρ∈ Cⁿ, there is an analogous representation V_ρ of U_q(sl_n) [Dobrev, Truini, and Biedenharn, 1994].
- Explicit formula? obtained inductively + not unique!

q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

- For ρ∈ Cⁿ, there is an analogous representation V_ρ of U_q(sι_n) [Dobrev, Truini, and Biedenharn, 1994].
- Explicit formula? obtained inductively + not unique!
- An Explicit formula: $m_i = \rho_{n-i} \rho_{n+1-i} + 1$

$$\begin{split} E_{ii}^{(n)} &= -\rho_{n+1-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} N_{ij} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (N_{ji}+1) ,\\ f_{i}^{(n)} &= -D_{i+1,i} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (N_{ij} - N_{i+1,j})} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{ij} D_{i+1,j} q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (N_{ik} - N_{i+1,k})} ,\\ e_{i}^{(n)} &= \frac{x_{i+1,i} \Big[m_{i} + N_{i+1,i} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} (N_{ji} - N_{j,i+1}) \Big]_{q} + q^{-m_{i}} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} x_{ji} D_{j,i+1} q^{\sum_{k=j}^{n} (N_{k,i+1} - N_{k,i})} \\ - q^{m_{i} + 2N_{i+1,i}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{i+1,j} D_{ij} q^{\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} (N_{ki} - N_{k,i+1}) + \sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} (N_{i+1,k} - N_{i,k})} , \end{split}$$

q-Difference Representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

- For ρ∈ Cⁿ, there is an analogous representation V_ρ of U_q(sι_n) [Dobrev, Truini, and Biedenharn, 1994].
- Explicit formula? obtained inductively + not unique!
- An Explicit formula: $m_i = \rho_{n-i} \rho_{n+1-i} + 1$

$$\begin{split} E_{ii}^{(n)} &= -\rho_{n+1-i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} N_{ij} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (N_{ji}+1) ,\\ f_{i}^{(n)} &= -D_{i+1,i} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (N_{ij} - N_{i+1,j})} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{ij} D_{i+1,j} q^{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (N_{ik} - N_{i+1,k})} ,\\ e_{i}^{(n)} &= \frac{x_{i+1,i} \Big[m_{i} + N_{i+1,i} + \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} (N_{ji} - N_{j,i+1}) \Big]_{q} + q^{-m_{i}} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} x_{ji} D_{j,i+1} q^{\sum_{k=j}^{n} (N_{k,i+1} - N_{k,i})} ,\\ -q^{m_{i}+2N_{i+1,i}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{i+1,j} D_{ij} q^{\sum_{k=i+2}^{n} (N_{ki} - N_{k,i+1}) + \sum_{k=j+1}^{i-1} (N_{i+1,k} - N_{i,k})} ,\end{split}$$

[Awata, Noumi, and Odake, 1994]

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \sqcup Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 \square_{q} -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator?

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator? $\underline{\mathfrak{sl}_{n}}: L(\boldsymbol{u}) = ZD(\boldsymbol{u})Z^{-1}$ $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{21} & 1 \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{n} & P_{21} & P_{31} & \dots & P_{n1} \\ u_{n-1} & P_{32} & \dots & P_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{2} & P_{n,n-1} \\ u_{1} \end{pmatrix},$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



,

Factorised *L*-operator? $\underbrace{\mathfrak{sl}_{n}: \ \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{u}) = ZD(\boldsymbol{u})Z^{-1}}_{Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_{21} & 1 & & \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{n} & P_{21} & P_{31} & \dots & P_{n1} \\ u_{n-1} & P_{32} & \dots & P_{n2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & u_{2} & P_{n,n-1} \\ u_{1} & \end{pmatrix},$ $\underbrace{U_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{n}):}_{Q} \text{ Postulate } \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{u}) = Z_{1}(\boldsymbol{u})D(\boldsymbol{u})Z_{2}(\boldsymbol{u})^{-1}$

$$D(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1^{u_{n_{1}qq} + r_{21}} & \dots & r_{n_{1}} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & [u_{2}]_{q}q^{b_{n-1}} & P_{n,n-1} \\ & [u_{1}]_{q}q^{b_{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$P_{ij} = -D_{ij}q^{b_{ij}} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} x_{ki} D_{kj}q^{b_{ijk}}, \quad Z_{i}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x_{21}q^{a_{21}} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n1}q^{a_{n1}^{(i)}} & \dots & x_{n,n-1}q^{a_{n,n-1}^{(i)}} 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \sqcup Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 \square_{q} -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator?

Factorised *L*-operator?

n=2: Yes [Derkachov, Karakhanyan, and Kirschner, 2007]

$$L(u_1, u_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q^{u_1 - N_X} \times 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [u_2]_q q^{-N_X - 1} & -D_X q^{N_X} \\ 0 & [u_1]_q q^{N_X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -q^{u_2 - N_X} \times 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Factorised *L*-operator?

n=2: Yes [Derkachov, Karakhanyan, and Kirschner, 2007]

$$L(u_1, u_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q^{u_1 - N_X \times 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [u_2]_q q^{-N_X - 1} & -D_X q^{N_X} \\ 0 & [u_1]_q q^{N_X} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -q^{u_2 - N_X \times 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

<u>n=3:</u> Yes [Valinevich et al., 2008], $L(u_1, u_2, u_3) = Z_1 D Z_2^{-1}$ with

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} [u_3]_q q^{-N_{21}+N_{31}} & (D_{21}+x_{32}D_{31}q^{N_{31}-N_{32}-1})q^{N_{21}+N_{31}} & D_{31}q^{N_{31}} \\ 0 & [u_2]_q q^{N_{21}-N_{32}} & D_{32}q^{u_2-N_{31}+N_{32}} \\ 0 & 0 & [u_1]_q q^{N_{32}+N_{31}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q^{u_2-N_{31}+N_{32}-N_{21}}x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ q^{-u_1-N_{31}+N_{32}}x_{31} & q^{u_1-u_2-N_{32}}x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ q^{c_{21}}x_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ q^{c_{31}}x_{31} & q^{c_{32}}x_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

 $c_{21} = u_3 - N_{21}, \ c_{31} = -u_3 - N_{31} - N_{21} - 1, \ c_{32} = N_{21} + N_{31} - N_{32}.$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \sqsubseteq Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations

 $\square_{q-\text{Difference Representation}}$



Factorised *L*-operator?

<u>n=4:</u>

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator?

<u>n=4:</u> No...


<u>n=4:</u> No... Our ansatz reduces to a large system of linear equations for the *q*-shift coefficients (182) which can be shown to be inconsistent.

<u>n=4:</u> No... Our ansatz reduces to a large system of linear equations for the *q*-shift coefficients (182) which can be shown to be inconsistent.

"Controlled deformation" breaks - We have "pure quantum phenomena" in the Cartan-Weyl elements:

$$E_{42} = [f_3, f_2]_q = -D_{42}q^{N_{21}-N_{32}-N_{41}-1} - x_{21}D_{41}q^{-(1+N_{31})} + (q-q^{-1})x_{31}D_{41}D_{32}q^{N_{21}-N_{31}-1}.$$

<u>n=4:</u> No... Our ansatz reduces to a large system of linear equations for the *q*-shift coefficients (182) which can be shown to be inconsistent.

"Controlled deformation" breaks - We have "pure quantum phenomena" in the Cartan-Weyl elements:

$$E_{42} = [f_3, f_2]_q = -D_{42}q^{N_{21}-N_{32}-N_{41}-1} - x_{21}D_{41}q^{-(1+N_{31})} + (q-q^{-1})x_{31}D_{41}D_{32}q^{N_{21}-N_{31}-1}.$$

A similar term appears in the E_{24} Cartan-Weyl element.

<u>n=4:</u> No... Our ansatz reduces to a large system of linear equations for the *q*-shift coefficients (182) which can be shown to be inconsistent.

"Controlled deformation" breaks - We have "pure quantum phenomena" in the Cartan-Weyl elements:

$$E_{42} = [f_3, f_2]_q = -D_{42}q^{N_{21}-N_{32}-N_{41}-1} - x_{21}D_{41}q^{-(1+N_{31})} + (q-q^{-1})x_{31}D_{41}D_{32}q^{N_{21}-N_{31}-1}.$$

A similar term appears in the E_{24} Cartan-Weyl element.

Such terms cannot arise from our ansatz.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator?

<u>n=4:</u> A modified factorisation $L(\boldsymbol{u}) = Z_1(\boldsymbol{u})D(\boldsymbol{u})Z_2(\boldsymbol{u})^{-1}$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \square_q -Difference Representation



,

Factorised *L*-operator?

<u>n=4:</u> A modified factorisation $L(\boldsymbol{u}) = Z_1(\boldsymbol{u})D(\boldsymbol{u})Z_2(\boldsymbol{u})^{-1}$

$$Z_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{21}q^{a_{21}} & 1 \\ x_{31}q^{a_{31}} & x_{32}q^{a_{32}} & 1 \\ x_{41}q^{a_{41}} & x_{42}q^{a_{42}} & x_{43}q^{a_{43}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{21}q^{a_{21}} & 1 \\ -(q-q^{-1})x_{31}D_{32}q^{a_{321}} & 1 \\ x_{31}q^{a_{31}} & x_{32}q^{a_{32}} & 1 \\ x_{41}q^{a_{41}} & x_{42}q^{a_{42}} & x_{43}q^{a_{43}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$Z_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{21}q^{c_{21}} & 1 \\ x_{31}q^{c_{31}} & x_{32}q^{c_{32}} & 1 \\ x_{41}q^{c_{41}} & x_{42}q^{c_{42}} & x_{43}q^{c_{43}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_{21}q^{c_{21}} & 1 \\ x_{31}q^{c_{31}} & x_{32}q^{c_{32}} & 1 \\ x_{31}q^{c_{31}} & -(q-q^{-1})x_{21}D_{31}q^{c_{321}} & 1 \\ x_{41}q^{c_{41}} & x_{42}q^{c_{42}} & x_{43}q^{c_{43}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



<u>General n</u>: Order of highest term in $(q - q^{-1})$

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

 \Rightarrow factorisation involves higher terms in $(q - q^{-1})$.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \Box Step 1: Symmetry Algebras and Representations \Box_q -Difference Representation



Factorised *L*-operator?

<u>General n</u>: Order of highest term in $(q - q^{-1})$

 \Rightarrow factorisation involves higher terms in $(q - q^{-1})$.

<u>Q</u>: Factor *L*-operator with near diagonal matrices which are only first order in $(q - q^{-1})$.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE



Parameter Permutations and YBE For $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u) := P \circ \mathcal{R}(u) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE



Parameter Permutations and YBE

For $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u) := P \circ \mathcal{R}(u) \in \mathsf{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$ the defining *RLL*-relation is



For $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u) := P \circ \mathcal{R}(u) \in \mathsf{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$ the defining *RLL*-relation is



 $\check{\mathcal{R}}$ realises the permutation $(\textit{\textbf{u}},\textit{\textbf{v}})\mapsto(\textit{\textbf{v}},\textit{\textbf{u}})\in\mathsf{Perm}(\textit{\textbf{u}},\textit{\textbf{v}})\simeq \textit{S}_{2n}.$

For $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u) := P \circ \mathcal{R}(u) \in \mathsf{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$ the defining *RLL*-relation is



 $\check{\mathcal{R}}$ realises the permutation $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \mapsto (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u}) \in \text{Perm}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \simeq S_{2n}$. <u>IDEA:</u> Factorise $\check{\mathcal{R}}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v})$ in terms of elementary transposition operators $S_i \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$

$$S_i L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_{12}(s_i(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}))S_i, \quad (L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_1(\boldsymbol{u})L_2(\boldsymbol{v}))$$
$$(s_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{2n})) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$$



<u>IDEA</u>: Factorise $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u - v)$ in terms of elementary transposition operators $S_i \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$

$$\mathcal{S}_i L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_{12}(s_i(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})) \mathcal{S}_i, \quad (L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}))$$

$$(s_i(\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_{2n}) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \ldots \alpha_{2n}))$$
 for $i = 1, \ldots, 2n - 1$.

<u>IDEA</u>: Factorise $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u - v)$ in terms of elementary transposition operators $S_i \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$

$$\mathcal{S}_i L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_{12}(s_i(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})) \mathcal{S}_i, \quad (L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}))$$

 $(s_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{2n}))$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$. Simplification: Can just find n - 1- "intertwining" operators $\overline{\mathcal{T}_i} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho})$: $\overline{\mathcal{T}_i}(u) l_1(u) = l_1(s_i u) \overline{\mathcal{T}_i}(u)$

$$\mathcal{T}_i(\boldsymbol{u})L_1(\boldsymbol{u})=L_1(s_i\boldsymbol{u})\mathcal{T}_i(\boldsymbol{u}),$$



<u>IDEA</u>: Factorise $\check{\mathcal{R}}(u - v)$ in terms of elementary transposition operators $S_i \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\sigma})$

$$\mathcal{S}_i L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_{12}(s_i(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})) \mathcal{S}_i, \quad (L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}))$$

 $(s_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_{2n})) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 2n-1.$ Simplification: Can just find n - 1- "intertwining" operators $\overline{\mathcal{T}_i} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_{\rho})$: $\mathcal{T}_i(\boldsymbol{u}) L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) = L_1(s_i \boldsymbol{u}) \mathcal{T}_i(\boldsymbol{u}),$

and a single "exchange" operator:

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})L_{12}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})=\mathcal{S}_n(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v})L_{12}(u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1},v_1,u_n,v_2,\ldots,v_n).$$

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE



Parameter Permutations and YBE



1. Two different decompositions of $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \mapsto (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u})$ into elementary transpositions gives two candidates for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$.



- 1. Two different decompositions of $(u, v) \mapsto (v, u)$ into elementary transpositions gives two candidates for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$.
- 2. YBE for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$:

 $\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(v-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-v)=\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-v)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(v-w).$

- 1. Two different decompositions of $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \mapsto (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u})$ into elementary transpositions gives two candidates for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$.
- 2. YBE for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$:

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(v-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-v)=\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-v)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(v-w).$$

These operators should define an action of S_{2n} , *i.e.*,

$$s_{i_j} \ldots s_{i_2} s_{i_1} \mapsto \mathcal{S}_{i_j}(s_{i_{j-1}} \ldots s_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})) \ldots \mathcal{S}_{i_2}(s_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})) \mathcal{S}_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}),$$

respects the group relations.

- 1. Two different decompositions of $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \mapsto (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{u})$ into elementary transpositions gives two candidates for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$.
- 2. YBE for $\check{\mathcal{R}}$:

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(v-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-v)=\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(u-v)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{12}(u-w)\check{\mathcal{R}}_{23}(v-w).$$

These operators should define an action of S_{2n} , *i.e.*,

$$s_{i_j}\ldots s_{i_2}s_{i_1}\mapsto \mathcal{S}_{i_j}(s_{i_{j-1}}\ldots s_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}))\ldots \mathcal{S}_{i_2}(s_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}))\mathcal{S}_{i_1}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}),$$

respects the group relations.

YBE then follows from equivalence of the decompositions in Perm(u, v, w)

$$(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{w})^{!} \stackrel{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{12}}{\longrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{w})^{!} \stackrel{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{23}}{\longrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{u})^{!} \stackrel{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{12}}{\longrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{u}),$$
$$(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{w})^{!} \stackrel{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{23}}{\longrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{v})^{!} \stackrel{\check{\mathcal{K}}_{23}}{\longrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{u}).$$

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

L-Undeformed Permutation Operators





-Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

Undeformed Permutation Operators



Literature

Undeformed Case: Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006].

Undeformed Case: Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006].

Intertwining Operators: up to a change of variables

$$\mathcal{T}_i(u_i - u_{i+1}) = (-\partial_{\xi})^{(u_i - u_{i+1})}$$

<u>Undeformed Case:</u> Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006]. ► Intertwining Operators: up to a change of variables

$$\mathcal{T}_i(u_i-u_{i+1})=(-\partial_\xi)^{(u_i-u_{i+1})}$$

Exchange Operator: A multiplication operator

$$S_n(u_n - v_1) = (F(x, y))^{(u_n - v_1)}$$

where F(x, y) is a polynomial in y_{ij} and $(x_{j1} - y_{j1})$.

<u>Undeformed Case:</u> Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006].

Intertwining Operators: up to a change of variables

$$\mathcal{T}_i(u_i - u_{i+1}) = (-\partial_{\xi})^{(u_i - u_{i+1})}$$

Exchange Operator: A multiplication operator

$$S_n(u_n - v_1) = (F(x, y))^{(u_n - v_1)},$$

where F(x, y) is a polynomial in y_{ij} and $(x_{j1} - y_{j1})$.

Symmetric Group Relations: Star-Triangle integral identities.

Undeformed Case: Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006].

Intertwining Operators: up to a change of variables

$$\mathcal{T}_i(u_i - u_{i+1}) = (-\partial_{\xi})^{(u_i - u_{i+1})}$$

Exchange Operator: A multiplication operator

$$S_n(u_n - v_1) = (F(x, y))^{(u_n - v_1)},$$

where F(x, y) is a polynomial in y_{ij} and $(x_{j1} - y_{j1})$.

Symmetric Group Relations: Star-Triangle integral identities. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ Case: [Derkachov, Karakhanyan, and Kirschner, 2007]

Undeformed Case: Treated in [Derkachov and Manashov, 2006].

Intertwining Operators: up to a change of variables

$$\mathcal{T}_i(u_i - u_{i+1}) = (-\partial_{\xi})^{(u_i - u_{i+1})}$$

Exchange Operator: A multiplication operator

$$S_n(u_n - v_1) = (F(x, y))^{(u_n - v_1)},$$

where F(x, y) is a polynomial in y_{ij} and $(x_{j1} - y_{j1})$.

Symmetric Group Relations: Star-Triangle integral identities. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ Case: [Derkachov, Karakhanyan, and Kirschner, 2007] $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ Case: [Valinevich et al., 2008]



q-Deformed Case

Proposition

The intertwiners for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ (|q| < 1) L-operator are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{n-i}^{(n)}(\alpha) &= \left(\Lambda_{n-i}^{(n)}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{e_{q^2}(q^{2(N_{i+1,i}+1)}\boldsymbol{X}_{n-i}^{(n)})}{e_{q^2}(q^{2(N_{i+1,i}+1-\alpha)}\boldsymbol{X}_{n-i}^{(n)})},\\ e_{q^2}(\boldsymbol{Z}) &= ((\boldsymbol{Z};q^2)_{\infty})^{-1} = \left[(1-\boldsymbol{Z})(1-q^2\boldsymbol{Z})(1-q^{2\cdot2}\boldsymbol{Z})\dots\right]^{-1},\\ \frac{e_{q^2}(\boldsymbol{Z})}{e_{q^2}(q^{-\alpha}\boldsymbol{Z})} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-\alpha};q)_j}{(q;q)_j}\boldsymbol{Z}^j, \qquad \Lambda_{n-i}^{(n)} = (x_{i+1,i})^{-1}q^{\beta_i} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = u_{n-i} - u_{n+1-i}$, and

$$m{X}_{n-i}^{(n)} = 1 + x_{i+1,i} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} rac{x_{j,i+1}}{x_{ji}} (q^{N_{ij}} - q^{-N_{ij}}) q^{\gamma_i}.$$



q-Deformed Case

Proposition

The intertwiners for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ (|q| < 1) L-operator are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{n-i}^{(n)}(\alpha) &= \left(\Lambda_{n-i}^{(n)}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{e_{q^2}(q^{2(N_{i+1,i}+1)}\boldsymbol{X}_{n-i}^{(n)})}{e_{q^2}(q^{2(N_{i+1,i}+1-\alpha)}\boldsymbol{X}_{n-i}^{(n)})},\\ e_{q^2}(\boldsymbol{Z}) &= ((\boldsymbol{Z};q^2)_{\infty})^{-1} = \left[(1-\boldsymbol{Z})(1-q^2\boldsymbol{Z})(1-q^{2\cdot2}\boldsymbol{Z})\dots\right]^{-1},\\ \frac{e_{q^2}(\boldsymbol{Z})}{e_{q^2}(q^{-\alpha}\boldsymbol{Z})} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-\alpha};q)_j}{(q;q)_j}\boldsymbol{Z}^j, \qquad \Lambda_{n-i}^{(n)} = (x_{i+1,i})^{-1}q^{\beta_i} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = u_{n-i} - u_{n+1-i}$, and

$$m{X}_{n-i}^{(n)} = 1 + x_{i+1,i} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} rac{x_{j,i+1}}{x_{ji}} (q^{N_{ij}} - q^{-N_{ij}}) q^{\gamma_i}$$

Obtained using an approach from [Valinevich et al., 2008].

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

└ q-deformed Permutation Operators



q-Deformed Case



q-Deformed Case

Proposition

The intertwiners for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ L-operator, $\mathcal{T}_i(\alpha)$, define an action of the symmetric group $Perm(\mathbf{u}) \simeq S_n$.

q-Deformed Case

Proposition

The intertwiners for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ L-operator, $\mathcal{T}_i(\alpha)$, define an action of the symmetric group $Perm(\mathbf{u}) \simeq S_n$.

Proof.

The only non-trivial relation is the braid relation

 $\mathcal{T}_{i}(\alpha)\mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\alpha+\beta)\mathcal{T}_{i}(\beta)=\mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\beta)\mathcal{T}_{i}(\alpha+\beta)\mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\alpha).$

q-Deformed Case

Proposition

The intertwiners for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ L-operator, $\mathcal{T}_i(\alpha)$, define an action of the symmetric group $Perm(\mathbf{u}) \simeq S_n$.

Proof.

The only non-trivial relation is the braid relation

$$\mathcal{T}_i(\alpha)\mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\alpha+\beta)\mathcal{T}_i(\beta) = \mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\beta)\mathcal{T}_i(\alpha+\beta)\mathcal{T}_{i+1}(\alpha).$$

After a series expansion it is reduced to a family of (terminating) q-series identity relating rank i + 1 and rank 2i - 1 q-Lauricella series.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

 $\Box_{q-deformed}$ Permutation Operators



q-Series Identity

└ q-deformed Permutation Operators

q-Series Identity

(Type D) q-Lauricella Function: q-Lauricella functions are a family of multivariable hypergeometric series:

q-Series Identity

(Type D) q-Lauricella Function: q-Lauricella functions are a family of multivariable hypergeometric series:

$$\Phi_D^{(n)}[b; a_1, \dots, a_n; c; q; x_1, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b; q)_M(a_1; q)_{m_1} \dots (a_n; q)_{m_n}}{(c; q)_M(q; q)_{m_1} \dots (q; q)_{m_n}} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}, \qquad (\star)$$

where $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$ and

$$(x; q)_m = (1-x)(1-qx)\dots(1-q^{m-1}x).$$
q-Series Identity

(Type D) q-Lauricella Function: q-Lauricella functions are a family of multivariable hypergeometric series:

$$\Phi_D^{(n)}[b; a_1, \dots, a_n; c; q; x_1, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b; q)_M(a_1; q)_{m_1} \dots (a_n; q)_{m_n}}{(c; q)_M(q; q)_{m_1} \dots (q; q)_{m_n}} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}, \qquad (\star)$$

where $M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i$ and

$$(x;q)_m = (1-x)(1-qx)\dots(1-q^{m-1}x).$$

[Andrews, 1972] gives a general transformation formula allowing us to rewrite (*) in terms of a $_{n+1}\phi_n$ hypergeometric series.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

 $\Box_{q-deformed}$ Permutation Operators



q-Series Identity

q-Series Identity

For $n \geq 1$ and non-negative integer tuples

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \ldots, k_n) = (k_0, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}), \quad \mathbf{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_n), \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{n-1}),$$

with $K = \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_j$ and L, M.



q-Series Identity

For $n \geq 1$ and non-negative integer tuples

$$\boldsymbol{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_n) = (k_0, \tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}), \quad \boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n), \quad \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}),$$
with $K = \sum_{j=0}^n k_j$ and L, M . Define *n*-tuples $\boldsymbol{r} = (r_i)$ and $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_i)$

$$r_i = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^{i} (k_a - (l_a + m_a)), \quad p_i = 1 - \sum_{a=i}^{n} (k_a - (l_a + m_a)).$$



q-Series Identity

For $n \geq 1$ and non-negative integer tuples

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_n) = (k_0, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}), \quad \mathbf{I} = (l_1, \dots, l_n), \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}),$$
with $K = \sum_{j=0}^n k_j$ and L, M . Define *n*-tuples $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)$ and $\mathbf{p} = (p_i)$
 $r_i = 1 + \sum_{a=1}^i (k_a - (l_a + m_a)), \quad p_i = 1 - \sum_{a=i}^n (k_a - (l_a + m_a)).$ The identity we need is the equality $\Theta_{\mathbf{k}, l, \mathbf{m}} = \Omega_{\mathbf{k}, l, \mathbf{m}}$

$$\Theta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{l},\boldsymbol{m}} = \frac{(\xi;q)_{L+M}}{(\xi\zeta;q)_{L+M}} \Phi_D^{(2n-1)}[\zeta;q^{-l},q^{-m};q^{1-L-M}/\xi;q^{\boldsymbol{r}+l+(m,0)},q^{(r_i,\hat{r}_n)+\boldsymbol{m}}],$$

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{l},\boldsymbol{m}} = \zeta^{k_0} \frac{(\xi;q)_K}{(\xi\zeta;q)_K} \Phi_D^{(n+1)}[\zeta;q^{-k};q^{1-K}/\xi;q^{1+k_0-K}/(\xi\zeta),q^{\boldsymbol{p}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{k}}}],$$

for arbitrary complex parameters ξ , ζ .

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

└ q-deformed Permutation Operators



Exchange Operator



- q-deformed Permutation Operators

Exchange Operator

The defining relation for the exchange operator S_n is

 $\mathcal{S}_n L_1(\boldsymbol{u}_n) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}_1) = L_1(\boldsymbol{v}_1) L_2(\boldsymbol{u}_n) \mathcal{S}_n.$

Exchange Operator

The defining relation for the exchange operator S_n is

$$\mathcal{S}_n L_1(\boldsymbol{u}_n) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}_1) = L_1(\boldsymbol{v}_1) L_2(\boldsymbol{u}_n) \mathcal{S}_n.$$

Recall the (postulated) factorisation for $L(\boldsymbol{u})$. This can be put into the form:

$$L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) = Z_1(\boldsymbol{u}_1) D Z_2(\boldsymbol{u}_n)^{-1}.$$

Exchange Operator

The defining relation for the exchange operator S_n is

$$\mathcal{S}_n L_1(\boldsymbol{u}_n) L_2(\boldsymbol{v}_1) = L_1(\boldsymbol{v}_1) L_2(\boldsymbol{u}_n) \mathcal{S}_n.$$

Recall the (postulated) factorisation for L(u). This can be put into the form:

$$L_1(\boldsymbol{u}) = Z_1(\boldsymbol{u}_1) D Z_2(\boldsymbol{u}_n)^{-1}.$$

Now we can reduce the defining relation to

$$Z_2^{(x,\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}(v_1) \left[(D^{(x,\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})})^{-1} S_n D^{(x,\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})} \right] \left(Z_2^{(x,\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}(u_n) \right)^{-1}$$

= $Z_1^{(y,\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})}(u_n) \left[D^{(y,\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})} S_n (D^{(y,\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})})^{-1} \right] \left(Z_1^{(y,\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})}(v_1) \right)^{-1},$

if $\mathcal{S}_n^{(x,y)}$ commutes (element wise) with $Z_1^{(x)}$ and $Z_2^{(y)}$.

Towards a factorised *R*-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry

Step 2: Parameter Permutations and YBE

└ q-deformed Permutation Operators



Exchange Operator



Exchange Operator

This has been used to construct exchange operators in the undeformed case, and n = 2, and n = 3 cases.

Exchange Operator

This has been used to construct exchange operators in the undeformed case, and n = 2, and n = 3 cases.

Recall in the $n \ge 4$ case the postulated ansatz for the factorisation was inconsistent - the outer most factors will now have *q*-difference terms.

Exchange Operator

This has been used to construct exchange operators in the undeformed case, and n = 2, and n = 3 cases.

Recall in the $n \ge 4$ case the postulated ansatz for the factorisation was inconsistent - the outer most factors will now have *q*-difference terms.

This seems to represent a serious obstruction to constructing the exchange operator - unclear whether to expect a multiplication operator (by shifted variables) to work or not





We introduced the *RLL*-method as a means for obtaining solutions to the YBE in the class of differential (*q*-difference) representations of 𝔅𝔅_n (U_q(𝔅𝔅_n)). A key feature here is a factorisation property of the *L*-operators.

- We introduced the *RLL*-method as a means for obtaining solutions to the YBE in the class of differential (*q*-difference) representations of 𝔅𝔅_n (U_q(𝔅𝔅_n)). A key feature here is a factorisation property of the *L*-operators.
- ► We explain how the *R*-matrix can be interpreted as performing a parameter permutation of the *L*-operator, allowing for its factorisation by transposition operators.

- We introduced the *RLL*-method as a means for obtaining solutions to the YBE in the class of differential (*q*-difference) representations of 𝔅𝔅_n (U_q(𝔅𝔅_n)). A key feature here is a factorisation property of the *L*-operators.
- ► We explain how the *R*-matrix can be interpreted as performing a parameter permutation of the *L*-operator, allowing for its factorisation by transposition operators.
- ► We described explicitly all but one of the transposition operators in the U_q(sl_n) case, and prove they obey the necessary symmetric group relations.

- We introduced the *RLL*-method as a means for obtaining solutions to the YBE in the class of differential (*q*-difference) representations of 𝔅𝔅_n (U_q(𝔅𝔅_n)). A key feature here is a factorisation property of the *L*-operators.
- ► We explain how the *R*-matrix can be interpreted as performing a parameter permutation of the *L*-operator, allowing for its factorisation by transposition operators.
- ► We described explicitly all but one of the transposition operators in the U_q(sl_n) case, and prove they obey the necessary symmetric group relations.
- ► We explain how the failure of the factorisation property for the U_q(sl₄) L-operator represents an obstruction to constructing the missing "exchange" operator.

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Summary



Thank You!

Towards a factorised R-matrix with $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ Symmetry \square Summary



Thank You!

Questions?



References

- Andrews, G.E. (1972). "Summations and Transformations for Basic Appell Series". In: J. London Math. Soc. s2-4.4, pp. 618–622.
- Awata, H., M. Noumi, and S. Odake (Jan. 1994). "Heisenberg realization for U_q(st_n) on the flag manifold". In: Lett. Math. Phys. 30.1, pp. 35–43.
- Derkachov, S., D. Karakhanyan, and R. Kirschner (2007).
 "Yang-Baxter-operators & parameter permutations". In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 785.3, pp. 263–285.
- Derkachov, S. and A. N. Manashov (Dec. 2006). "*R*-Matrix and Baxter *Q*-Operators for the Noncompact *SL*(*N*, *C*) Invariant Spin Chain". In: *SIGMA*.
- Dobrev, V. K., P. Truini, and L. C. Biedenharn (1994). "Representation theory approach to the polynomial solutions of q-difference equations: Uq(sl(3)) and beyond". In: J. Math. Phys. 35.11, pp. 6058–6075.
- Jimbo, M. (1986). "A *q*-analogue of U(gl(N + 1)), Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation". In: Lett. Math. Phys. 11, pp. 247−252.
- Valinevich, P. A. et al. (2008). "Factorization of the *R*-matrix for the quantum algebra U_q(sl₃)". In: J. Math. Sci. 151, pp. 2848–2858.